

Adjoint Solutions of the Brans-Dicke Equations

H. A. BUCHDAHL

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Science,
Australian National University, Canberra*

Received: 8 May 1972

Abstract

It is shown that if the Brans-Dicke equations have the solution ϕ, g_{ij} generated by the trace-free source T_{kl} ($T=0$) then there exists an 'adjoint solution' $\phi^{-1}, \phi^2 g_{ij}$ of these equations generated by the source $\phi^{-2} T_{kl}$. An example is considered.

1. Introduction

The field equations of the scalar-tensor theory of gravitation due to Brans & Dicke (1961) are

$$G_{ij} = 8\pi\phi^{-1}T_{ij} + \omega\phi^{-2}(\phi_{;i}\phi_{;j} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\phi_{;k}\phi^{;k}) + \phi^{-1}(\phi_{;ij} - g_{ij}\square\phi) \quad (1.1)$$

$$2\phi^{-1}\square\phi - \phi^{-2}\phi_{;k}\phi^{;k} = \omega^{-1}R \quad (1.2)$$

the speed of light having been taken to have the value unity. (1.2) may be replaced by the equation

$$(3 + 2\omega)\square\phi = 8\pi T \quad (1.3)$$

Now, although conformal transformations of the metric tensor g_{ij} have occasionally been considered before, for instance by Dicke (1962) and Bergmann (1968), the following result has not, as far as I am aware, been stated previously:

if $(T_{kl}|\phi, g_{ij})$ is a solution of the Brans-Dicke equations with trace-free source T_{kl} ($T=0$) then the equations are also satisfied by $(\phi^{-2}T_{kl}|\phi^{-1}, \phi^2 g_{ij})$.

In particular, the adjoint solution may evidently be formed when one has an electromagnetic source.

The derivation of the general result is given in Section 2, whilst in Section 3 the static spherically symmetric solution of Brans (1962) is examined in the present context.

Copyright © 1973 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of Plenum Publishing Company Limited.

2. Proof of the Result

Since T vanishes by hypothesis,

$$\square \phi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

granted that $2\omega + 3 \neq 0$. Then (1.1) may be replaced by the simpler equation

$$8\pi T_{ij} = -\phi R_{ij} - \omega \phi^{-1} \phi_{;i} \phi_{;j} - \phi_{;ij} \quad (2.2)$$

Now let

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \phi^2 g_{ij}, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi^{-1} \quad (2.3)$$

Further, any quantity relating to the Riemann space V_4 whose metric tensor is \bar{g}_{ij} is distinguished by a bar, and covariant derivatives in this V_4 are denoted by indices following a colon. Then, if s is any scalar field

$$\begin{aligned} s_{;ij} &= s_{;ij} - \Gamma^k{}_{ij} s_{;k} \\ &= s_{;ij} - \phi^{-1} (s_{;i} \phi_{;j} + s_{;j} \phi_{;i} - g_{ij} s^{;k} \phi_{;k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, taking $s = \bar{\phi}$,

$$\bar{\phi}_{;ij} = -\phi^{-2} \phi_{;ij} + \phi^{-2} (4\phi_{;i} \phi_{;j} - g_{ij} \phi_{;k} \phi^{;k}) \quad (2.5)$$

Hence

$$\square \bar{\phi} = \phi^{-2} \bar{g}^{ij} \bar{\phi}_{;ij} = -\phi^{-4} \square \phi = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

in view of (2.1). With this result at hand, the source belonging to the fields $\bar{\phi}$, \bar{g}_{ij} is given by

$$8\pi \bar{T}_{ij} = -\bar{\phi} \bar{R}_{ij} - \omega \bar{\phi}^{-1} \bar{\phi}_{;i} \bar{\phi}_{;j} - \bar{\phi}_{;ij} \quad (2.7)$$

The Ricci tensor of the V_4 is (Eisenhart, 1949)

$$\bar{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} + 2\phi^{-1} \phi_{;ij} - 4\phi^{-2} \phi_{;i} \phi_{;j} + g_{ij} \phi^{-2} \phi_{;k} \phi^{;k} \quad (2.8)$$

once again bearing (2.1) in mind. If one now inserts (2.5) and (2.8) in (2.7) one just recovers T_{ij} (as given by (2.2)) to within a factor ϕ^{-2} :

$$\bar{T}_{ij} = \phi^{-2} T_{ij} \quad (2.9)$$

and the desired result has thus been attained.

3. The Static Spherically Symmetric Vacuum Solution as Example

Brans (1962) has given the exact static spherically symmetric vacuum solution ($T_{ij} = 0$) of equations (1.1, 1.2) in isotropic coordinates:

$$ds^2 = -e^\lambda [dr^2 + r^2(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2)] + e^\nu dt^2$$

with

$$e^\lambda = e^{\lambda_0} (1 + B/r)^{2+2(C+1)/A} (1 - B/r)^{2-2(C+1)/A}$$

$$e^\nu = e^{\nu_0} (1 + B/r)^{-2/A} (1 - B/r)^{2/A}$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 (1 + B/r)^{-C/A} (1 - B/r)^{C/A} \quad (3.1)$$

$B, C, \lambda_0, v_0, \phi_0$ are constants of integration, and

$$A^2 = (1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega) C^2 + C + 1 \quad (3.2)$$

(it suffices to consider only the first of the four 'branches' given by Brans.) Although the situation here is a very special one, both on account of the many symmetries present and because T_{ij} actually vanishes, it is still worth contemplating it in the context of the present investigation. Of course, since (3.1) is a 'general' solution the adjoint solution must be already contained in it. At any rate,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\bar{\lambda}} &= e^{\lambda_0} (1 + B/r)^{2+2/A} (1 - B/r)^{2-2/A} \\ e^{\bar{v}} &= e^{v_0} (1 + B/r)^{-2(C+1)/A} (1 - B/r)^{2(C+1)/A} \\ \bar{\phi} &= \phi_0^{-1} (1 + B/r)^{C/A} (1 - B/r)^{-C/A} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

If, however, one writes $e^{\bar{\lambda}}, e^{\bar{v}}, \bar{\phi}$ in the form (3.1) with all constants of integration barred, one has expressions just of the form (3.3), i.e. with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}_0 &= \lambda_0, & \bar{v}_0 &= v_0, & \bar{\phi}_0 &= \phi_0^{-1}, & \bar{B} &= B, & \bar{A} &= A/(C+1), \\ \bar{C} &= -C/(C+1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and this is in harmony with the remark preceding equation (3.3).

References

- Bergmann, P. G. (1968). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 1, No. 1, p. 25.
 Brans, C. (1962). *Physical Review*, 125, 2194.
 Brans, C. and Dicke, R. H. (1961). *Physical Review*, 124, 925.
 Dicke, R. H. (1962). *Physical Review*, 125, 2163.
 Eisenhart, L. P. (1949). *Riemannian Geometry*, p. 90. Princeton University Press.